

1 TERRENCE P. MCMAHON (State Bar No. 71910)
tcmahon@mwe.com
2 ANTHONY DE ALCUAZ (State Bar No. 65599)
adealcuaz@mwe.com
3 ROBERT J. BLANCH (State Bar No. 189632)
rblanch@mwe.com
4 ARTURO E. SANDOVAL (State Bar No. 227077)
asandoval@mwe.com
5 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
3150 Porter Drive
6 Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 813-5000
7 Facsimile: (650) 813-5100

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA
9 PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
10

11 GARTH A. WINN (*pro hac vice*)
garth.winn@klarquist.com
12 JEFFREY S. LOVE (State Bar No. 95068)
jeffrey.love@klarquist.com
13 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN LLP
One World Trade Center
14 121 S.W. Salmon Street, Ste. 1600
Portland, Oregon 97204
15 Telephone (503)-595-5300
16 Fax (503)-595-5301

17
18 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
22 AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 INTERNATIONAL GAME
TECHNOLOGY and IGT,

26 Defendants.
27
28

Case No. C 06-3717 (MJJ)

ELECTRONIC CASE FILING

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
CHANGING TIME**

[Civil L.R. 6-1 and 6-2]

Before: Honorable Judge Larson

1 Based on the Court's willingness to hear both Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order (*see*
2 Dkt. # 226) and Defendants' motion to compel and motion for sanction (*see* Dkt. # 218) on the
3 same day, June 13, 2007, and pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2 of the United States
4 District Court for the Northern District of California, the parties hereby stipulate to the following
5 briefing schedule:

6 1) Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order and Defendants' motion to compel and
7 motion for sanctions due on May 14, 2007;

8 2) Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion to compel and motion for
9 sanctions and Defendants' opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order due on May 23,
10 2007; and

11 3) The parties' respective reply briefs due on May 30, 2007.

12 Additionally, the Court is also willing to hear Defendants' motion to compel documents
13 (Dkt. #214) and Defendants' motion to compel interrogatory responses (Dkt. #191) on June 13,
14 2007. Thus, the parties agree to have these motions also heard on June 13, 2007, by the Court.

15 The reason for this request is based on the Court's willingness to hear all outstanding
16 discovery motions on the same day, June 13, 2007. The parties have stipulated in the past to
17 modify the Joint Pre-Trial Scheduling Order to add additional deadlines relating to the
18 amendment of the complaint and to supplement the *Markman* briefing schedule. This time
19 modification would have no effect on the current case schedule.

1 Dated: May 11, 2007

By: /s/ Arturo E. Sandoval

Terrence P. McMahon
Anthony de Alcuaz
Robert J. Blanch
Arturo E. Sandoval
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304
(650) 813-5000

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

9 Dated: May 11, 2007

By: /s/ Garth Winn

Jeffrey S. Love
Stephanie S. Irvine (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Garth A. Winn (*admitted pro hac vice*)
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
Portland, OR 97204
(503) 595-5300

Attorneys for Defendants
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
and IGT

17 Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of
18 perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from its signatory.

20 Dated: May 11, 2007

By: /s/ Arturo E. Sandoval

21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: May 16, 2007



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Nicole San Nicolas, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Santa Clara County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 3150 Porter Drive, Palo Alto, California 94304-1212. I served a copy of the within document(s):



by transmitting via electronic mail the document(s) listed above to the email addresses set forth below on this date.



by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Palo Alto, California addressed as set forth below.

Jeffrey S. Love
jeffrey.love@klarquist.com
Samir Pandya
samir.pandya@klarquist.com
Garth A. Winn
garth.winn@klarquist.com
Klarquist Sparkman, LLP
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
Portland, OR 97204

Eric J. Wesenberg
ewesenberg@orrick.com
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on **May 11, 2007**, at Palo Alto, California.

/s/ Nicole San Nicolas
Nicole San Nicolas

MPK 126462-2.074272.0016